



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,948	10/18/2001	Blaise Alexander	20682-0002	5454
26587	7590	07/10/2008		
MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC 100 PINE STREET P.O. BOX 1166 HARRISBURG, PA 17108-1166			EXAMINER LIU, I JUNG	
			ART UNIT 3694	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 07/10/2008	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent@mwnc.com

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/002,948

Applicant(s)

ALEXANDER ET AL.

Examiner

MARISSA LIU

Art Unit

3694

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 February 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/CDC)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date: \_\_\_\_\_

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1 and 3-27 are presented for examination. Applicant filed an amendment on 2/8/2008 canceling claim 2 and amending claims 1, 7, 12, 19, 21-22 and 25. In view of Applicant's amendment, the Examiner withdraws the grounds of rejection of claims 1-27 based on 35 USC 112, 35 USC 102 and 35 USC 103. However, new grounds of rejection of claims 1 and 3-27 necessitated by Applicant's amendment are established in the instant office action as set forth in detail below.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "currently published retail value" in claim 7 is vague and indefinite, it is unclear whether the device has to actually perform upon activation of rendering the vehicle operable for a predetermined period of time, the vehicle otherwise being inoperable with the installed device or not.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Clams 1, 8, 10-12, 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Robyn Meredith (PTO 892 form U) in view of Official Notice.
2. As per claim 1, Robyn teaches a method for leasing a motor vehicle to a credit challenged customer comprising the steps of:

selecting a vehicle based on predetermined financial criteria (§ 22-23, § 13, § 15 and § 18-19);

approving a lease for the vehicle (§ 1 and 5);

funding the lease (§ 1, 5, 8, 12 and 29), wherein funding the lease comprises:

selecting and installing into the vehicle a device configured to render the vehicle operable for a predetermined period of time, the vehicle otherwise being inoperable with the installed device (§ 1; § 4-5);

activating the device to render the vehicle operable for a predetermined lease period after receiving a predetermined lease payment from the customer for the predetermined lease period (§ 1; § 4-5).

delivering the vehicle to the customer (§ 1 and 3).

Robyn does not teach: establishing a leasing company by an auto dealership; acquiring a line of credit from a lending institution by the leasing company for providing a pool of funds for a plurality of leases, the line of credit including an interest based upon a plurality of credit parameters associated with the dealership. Official Notice is taken that establishing a leasing company and acquiring a line of credit, the line of credit including an interest is old and well known in the business of leasing as a convenient way for company to provide customer integrated service in a timely and efficient manner. Therefore, it would have been obvious to

one of the ordinary skill of the art at the time of the invention to have included establishing a leasing company by an auto dealership; acquiring a line of credit from a lending institution by the leasing company for providing a pool of funds for a plurality of leases, the line of credit including an interest based upon a plurality of credit parameters associated with the dealership to leasing a motor vehicle.

3. As per claim 8, Robyn and Official Notice teach the method of claim 1 described above. Robyn further teaches the step of approving the lease is performed electronically (§ 1).

4. As per claim 10, Robyn and Official Notice teach method of claim 1 described above. Robyn further teaches including the step of tracking predetermined lease information by a microprocessor (§ 1; 5; 28).

5. As per claim 11, Robyn and Official Notice teach the method of claim 1 described above. Robyn further teaches including the step of transferring lease information to a third party wherein the third party tracks the lease and issues at least one predetermined lease schedule (§ 1; 5; 28).

6. As per claim 12, Robyn and Official Notice teach the method of claim 1 described above. Robyn further teaches wherein the device configured to render the vehicle operable for a predetermined period of time comprises a device with a microprocessor connected to the vehicle's ignition system to prevent starting of the vehicle without a predetermined authorization (§ 1; 5; 28).

7. As per claim 18, please refer to claim 1 rejection described above.

8. As per claim 19, Robyn and Official Notice teach a system for leasing a motor vehicle to a credit challenged consumer comprising:

a device configured to render the vehicle operable for a predetermined period of time, the vehicle otherwise being inoperable with the installed device (§ 1);

means for obtaining a funded lease for the vehicle (§ 4-5); and

a means for activating the device upon payment of a predetermined lease amount (§ 5).

Robyn does not teach: the means for obtaining being configured to compute at least one predetermined financial parameter in electronic form based on at least one financial parameter associated with the consumer. Official Notice is taken that means for to compute predetermined financial parameter in electronic form based on financial parameter is old and well known in the business of leasing as a convenient way for company to provide customer integrated service in a timely and efficient manner. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill of the art at the time of the invention to have included means for to compute predetermined financial parameter in electronic form based on financial parameter to leasing a motor vehicle.

9. Claims 3-7, 9 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robyn (PTO-892 reference U) in view of Official Notice.

10. As per claim 3 or 20, Robyn and Official Notice teach the claim 1 or 19 described above. Robyn further teaches wherein the value of the line of credit is substantially equal to an amount of business anticipated during a predetermined period. Official Notices is taken that the line of credit is substantially equal to an amount of business anticipated is old and well established in the business of funding lease for a motor vehicle. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the step of funding the lease

further includes the line of credit is substantially equal to an amount of business anticipated to the method for funding the lease.

11. As per claim 4, Robyn and Official Notice teach the claim 1 described above. Robyn does not teach the predetermined financial criteria comprises the customer's need based on a dollar value per week lease payment the customer can afford. Official Notices is taken that the customer's need based on payment the customer can afford is old and well established in the business of funding lease for a motor vehicle. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the customer's need based on payment the customer can afford to the method for funding the lease.

12. As per claim 5, Robyn and Official Notice teach the method of claim 1 described above. Robyn does not wherein the vehicle selected is selected from the group consisting of a current model year vehicle to a 5 model years old vehicle for a 36 month term lease; a 6 model years old vehicle to an 8 model years old vehicle for a 24 month term lease; and a 9 model years old vehicle to a 10 model years old vehicle for a 12 month term lease. Official Notices is taken that select vehicle model base on the leasing term is old and well established in the automobile leasing industry to assist the leasing company to select vehicle for its customer. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included that select vehicle model base on the leasing term to the method for funding the lease.

13. As per claim 6, Robyn and Official Notice teach the method of claim 1 described above. Robyn does not teach wherein the vehicle selected is selected from the group consisting of a vehicle with less than about 60,000 miles for a maximum 36 month lease term; a vehicle with about 60,000 miles to about 100,000 miles for a maximum 24 month lease term; and a vehicle

with about 100,000 miles to about 130,000 miles for a maximum 12 month lease term. Official Notices is taken that select vehicle base on mileage or lease term is old and well established in the automobile leasing industry to assist the leasing company to select vehicle for its customer. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included that select vehicle base on mileage or lease term to the method for funding the lease.

14. As per claim 7, Robyn and Official Notice teach the method of claim 1 described above. Robyn does not teach wherein the lease has a maximum net capitalized cost no greater than 120% of currently published retail value. Official Notices is taken that lease has a cost not greater than certain percentage of retail value is old and well established in the automobile leasing industry to assist the leasing company to select vehicle for its customer. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included that lease has a cost not greater than certain percentage of retail value to the method for funding the lease.

15. As per claim 9, Robyn teaches the method of claim 1 described above. Robyn does not teach wherein the step of approving the lease is performed by a reviewer. Official Notices is taken that the step of approving the lease is performed by a reviewer is old and well established in the business of funding lease for a motor vehicle. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the step of approving the lease is performed by a reviewer the method for funding the lease.

16. Claims 21-22 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robyn (PTO-892 reference U) in view of Official Notice.



17. With reference to claim 21, the specifics of the funding the lease and selected vehicle can be construed as non-functional descriptive material and are not functionally related to the method for leasing said selected vehicle. Said non-functional descriptive material is given little patentable weight. See *Gulack*, 703 F.2d at 1384, 217 USPQ at 403; see also *Diehr*, 450 U.S. at 191, 209 USPQ at 10.

18. As per claim 22, Robyn and Official Notice teach the system of claim 21 described above. Robyn et al. further teaches wherein the device is configured to render activation of rendering the vehicle operable for a predetermined period of time comprises a device with a microprocessor connected to the vehicle's ignition system to prevent starting of the vehicle without a predetermined authorization (paragraph 1, 11-12).

19. As per claim 25, Robyn and Official Notice teach the system of claim 22 described above. Robyn further teaches wherein the activating means comprises:

the microprocessor configured to, upon delivery of the vehicle to the customer, receive a plurality of predetermined authorization codes, each of the codes upon activation rendering the vehicle operable for the predetermined period; wherein one authorization code being supplied to the customer for a paid predetermined period; the authorization code for the paid predetermined period, rendering the vehicle operable for the predetermined period when entered into the microprocessor (paragraph 1, 11-12).

20. With reference to claim 26, the specifics of the lease term can be construed as non-functional descriptive material and are not functionally related to the method for leasing said selected vehicle. Said non-functional descriptive material is given little patentable weight. See *Gulack*, 703 F.2d at 1384, 217 USPQ at 403; see also *Diehr*, 450 U.S. at 191, 209 USPQ at 1

21. Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robyn (PTO-892 reference U), in view of Official Notice, further in view of Simon et al., US Patent Number 6,195,648 (PTO-892 form B).

22. As claim 13, Robyn and Official Notice teach the method of claim 1 described above. Robyn does not teach wherein the step of activating the device comprises transferring an authorization code selected from the group consisting of using a keypad, via radio waves and via a cellular telephone. Simon et al. further teaches wherein the step of activating the device comprises transferring an authorization code selected from the group consisting of using a keypad, via radio waves and via a cellular telephone (see column 7, lines 38-53, where “radio frequency” is equivalent of “radio wave” and column 10, lines 19-20).

Therefore, it would be prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the step of activating the device feature to the method of Robyn because Simon teaches that adding the feature help to improve timely repayment of a loan (see column 2, lines 61-64 of Simon et al.).

23. As per claim 14, Robyn and Official Notice teach the method of claim 13 described above. Robyn does not teach entering into the microprocessor upon delivery of the vehicle to the customer a plurality of predetermined authorization codes, each of the codes upon activation rendering the vehicle operable for the predetermined period; supplying to the customer the authorization code for a paid predetermined period; and entering into the microprocessor the authorization code for the paid predetermined period, thereby rendering the vehicle operable for the paid predetermined period.

Simon et al. further teaches wherein the step of activating the device to render the vehicle operable for the predetermined lease period comprises the steps of:

entering into the microprocessor upon delivery of the vehicle to the customer a plurality of predetermined authorization codes, each of the codes upon activation rendering the vehicle operable for the predetermined period; supplying to the customer the authorization code for a paid predetermined period; and entering into the microprocessor the authorization code for the paid predetermined period, thereby rendering the vehicle operable for the paid predetermined period (see abstract, column 1, line 62-column 2, line 55, and column 6, line 50-column 7, line 3).

Therefore, it would be prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add entering into the microprocessor upon delivery of the vehicle to the customer a plurality of predetermined authorization codes feature to the method of Robyn because Simon teaches that adding the feature help to improve timely repayment of a loan (see column 2, lines 61-64 of Simon et al.).

24. As per claim 15, Robyn, Official Notice and Simon et al. teach the method of claim 14 described above. Robyn further teaches wherein the paid predetermined period is a lease payment period (¶ 1).

25. As per claim 16, Robyn, Official Notice and Simon et al. teach the method of claim 14 described above. Robyn does not teaches the plurality of predetermined authorization codes includes an emergency code for allowing the vehicle to be operated for a period of predetermined short duration in response to an emergency and a reset code for resetting a previously activated emergency code. Simon further teaches the plurality of predetermined

authorization codes includes an emergency code for allowing the vehicle to be operated for a period of predetermined short duration in response to an emergency and a reset code for resetting a previously activated emergency code (see column 7, lines 18-53).

Therefore, it would be prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the plurality of predetermined authorization codes includes an emergency code feature to the method of Robyn because Simon teaches that adding the feature help to improve timely repayment of a loan (see column 2, lines 61-64 of Simon et al.).

26. Claims 17 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robyn (PTO-892 reference U), in view of Official Notice, further in view of Donald Streit (PTO-892 reference V).

27. As per claim 17, Robyn and Official Notice teach the method of claim 1 described above. Robyn does not teaches the method further including the step of selecting and installing in the vehicle a device for tracking the vehicle selected from the group consisting of a Global Positional System device and a Radio Frequency Identification device. Donald teaches the method further including the step of selecting and installing in the vehicle a device for tracking the vehicle selected from the group consisting of a Global Positional System device and a Radio Frequency Identification device (see abstract).

Therefore, it would be prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the step of selecting and installing in the vehicle a device for tracking the vehicle selected from the group consisting of a Global Positional System device and a Radio Frequency Identification device feature to the method for leasing a motor vehicle of

Robyn because Donald teaches that adding the features help to track a vehicle (see abstract and column 2).

28. As per claim 23, Robyn and Official notice a system of claim 19 described above. Donald further teaches comprise a device for tracking the vehicle selected from the group consisting of a Global Positional System device and a Radio Frequency Identification device (see abstract).

Therefore, it would be prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a device for tracking the vehicle selected from the group consisting of a Global Positional System device and a Radio Frequency Identification device feature to the system for leasing a motor vehicle of Simon et al. because Donald teaches that adding the features help to track a vehicle (see abstract and column 2).

29. Claims 24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robyn (PTO-892 reference U), in view of Official Notice, further in view of Simon et al. (PTO-892 reference B).

30. As per claim 24, Robyn and Official Notice the system of claim 19 described above. Simon et al. further teaches wherein the means for activating the device includes transferring an authorization code selected from the group consisting of using a keypad, via radio waves and via a telephone (see column 7, lines 38-53 and column 10, lines 19-20).

Therefore, it would be prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add wherein the means for activating the device includes transferring an authorization code selected from the group consisting of using a keypad, via radio waves and via a telephone feature to the system for leasing a motor vehicle of Robyn. Because Simon

teaches that adding the feature help to enable and disable equipment in response to receipt of loan payments (column 3, lines 1-3).

35. As per claim 27, Robyn and Official Notice teach the system of claim 25 described above. Simon et al. further teaches wherein the plurality of predetermined authorization codes includes an emergency code for allowing the vehicle to be operated for a period of predetermined short duration in response to an emergency and a reset code for resetting a previously activated emergency code (see column 7, lines 18-37).

Therefore, it would be prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add an emergency code for allowing the vehicle to be operated for a period of predetermined short duration in response to an emergency and a reset code for resetting a previously activated emergency code to the system for leasing a motor vehicle of Robyn. Because Simon teaches that adding the feature help to enable and disable equipment in response to receipt of loan payments (column 3, lines 1-3).

#### ***Response to Arguments***

31. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARISSA LIU whose telephone number is (571)270-1370. The examiner can normally be reached on IFP.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571-272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. L./  
Examiner, Art Unit 3694

/James P Trammell/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3694